

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/674,095	09/26/2003	Daniel Wildmann	2821-205WOUS-1	2162
7590 12/02/2004 McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II			EXAMINER	
			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/674,095	WILDMANN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		M. Alexandra Elve	1725		
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period under the provided period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (	to e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	ılv 2004			
		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11.	. 453 O.G.: 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) 11,23,24,27,28,30,33,36-38 and 40 is	are pending in the""			
/ <b>L</b>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	rate penuling in the application	l.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.			
	Claim(s) <u>11,23,24,28,30,33,36-38 and 40</u> is/are	rainatad			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rejected,			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
		oloston requirement.			
	on Papers				
9)[_] 40)[2] -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
44)[7] -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12) <b></b>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 H.S.C. & 440/	(a) (d) or (f)		
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	3119(	(a)-(u) or (i).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	ation No. 00/831 483		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit	v documents have been recei	ved in this National Store		
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a))	Tod in this Hallonal Stage		
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not receive	ved.		
		,			
******************************	(-)				
ittachment(		_	,		
)   Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	ry (PTO-413) Date		
) 🔀 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03</u> .	6) Other:	, ,		
Patent and Trac OL-326 (Re		on Summary P	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 20041130		

Application/Control Number: 10/674,095

Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11, 23-24, 27-28, 30, 33, 36-38 & 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitahama et al. (US Pat. 5,217,155) in view of Bischofberger et al. (US Pat. 5,290,990).

Kitahama et al. discloses the joining of sheet material. Plastic deformation occurs between the end portions of leading and trailing pieces. End portions are brought into contact with each other due to metal flow, forming a gap portion between the sheet materials (abstract). Pressing rolls are used (see figure 1 article 5) (col. 4, lines 28-29 & col. 5, lines 43-47). It is beneficial to control the final gap. The gap is controlled to about 5 mm in size (col. 7, lines 23-38). Kitahama et al. does not teach workholders or clamping (brake).

Bischofberger et al. discloses a seam welding machine for sheet blanks.

Clamping blocks clamp each block, both with an upper and lower clamp (abstract). An electrical resistance roller is used for mash seam welding (plastic deformation seam

Application/Control Number: 10/674,095

Art Unit: 1725

welding). Sheet blanks are pushed into a gap area (col. 2, lines 54-68, col. 3, lines 40-43 & col. 4, lines 1-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the blocks for clamping and holding, as taught by Bischofberger et al. in the Kitahama et al. system because the sheets have to be supported and aligned during processing.

Intended use has been continuously held not to be germane to determining the patentability of the apparatus, <u>In re Finsterwalder</u> 168 USPQ 530.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,095

Art Unit: 1725

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 30, 2004.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER